



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/978,528	10/17/2001	Andres Bryant	BU9-99-055	5054

23416 7590 10/06/2003

CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ, LLP
P O BOX 2207
WILMINGTON, DE 19899

EXAMINER

SEFER, AHMED N

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2826

DATE MAILED: 10/06/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/978,528

Applicant(s)

BRYANT ET AL.

Examiner

A. Sefer

Art Unit

2826

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 July 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-25 and 27-33 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-22 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 23-25 and 27-33 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. The amendment filed on 7/2/03 has been entered. Claim 26 has been cancelled; no new claims have been added.

Allowable Subject Matter

2. The indicated allowability of the claims is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Imai USPN 6,297,529. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the semiconductor material". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the exposed portion". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

Art Unit: 2826

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in-

(1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or

(2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).

6. Claims 23-25 and 27-29, as understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Deleonibus USPN 6,091,076.

Deleonibus discloses in figs. 1 and 2 a semiconductor device comprising a semiconductor layer formed on an insulating layer 44; a gate conductor 20 formed on the semiconductor layer; spacers 24/26 formed on sidewalls of the gate conductor and on the semiconductor layer; extension regions 8, 10 extending further under the spacers than diffusion regions 4, 6 (as in claim 28) arranged in the semiconductor layer on both sides of the gate conductor and extending at least under the spacers; diffusion regions 4, 6 formed in the semiconductor material adjacent to the extension regions such that a portion of at least one of the extensions regions is exposed at a surface of the semiconductor layer; and a metal layer 12/14 contacting the diffusion region (as in claim 25) formed at least in the exposed portion of the extension region, the metal layer contacting the semiconductor layer. Applicant's claims do not structurally distinguish over Deleonibus. The claimed limitation "the metal layer contacting the semiconductor layer" does not structurally distinguish over Deleonibus.

As to claim 24, Deleonibus discloses extension regions lower doped than the diffusion regions.

As to claim 27, Deleonibus discloses extension region exposed on both sides of the gate conductor and the metal layer formed in both the exposed portions of the extension regions.

Art Unit: 2826

As to claim 29, Deleonibus discloses said metal layer and said exposed portion of the extension region form a schottky diode.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

8. Claims 23-25 and 27-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamaguchi et al. USPN 5,341,028 in view of Imai USPN 6,297,529.

Yamaguchi et al disclose in figs. 5 and 6 a semiconductor device comprising a semiconductor layer formed on an insulating layer 12; a gate conductor 20 formed on the semiconductor layer; spacers 25/26 formed on sidewalls of the gate conductor and on the semiconductor layer; extension regions 15, 16 extending further under the spacers than diffusion regions 17, 18 (as in claim 28) arranged in the semiconductor layer on both sides of the gate conductor and extending at least under the spacers; diffusion regions 17, 18 formed in the semiconductor material adjacent to the extension regions such that a portion of at least one of the extensions regions is exposed at a surface of the semiconductor layer; a metal layer 27 contacting the diffusion region (as in claim 25) formed at least in the exposed portion of the extension region, but do not disclose the metal layer contacting the semiconductor layer.

Imai discloses in fig. 2 a semiconductor device comprising a semiconductor layer 5/6; a gate conductor 14; extension regions 16 extending further under spacers 17 than diffusion

Art Unit: 2826

regions 19 arranged in the semiconductor layer on both sides of the gate conductor and extending at least under the spacers; and a metal layer 20 formed at least in the exposed portion of the extension region and extending into the semiconductor layer (as in claim 30) or extends into a portion of the semiconductor layer below said extension region (as in claim 31), the metal layer contacting the semiconductor layer.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate Imai's teachings with the device of Yamaguchi et al since that would prevent an increase of the contact resistance of the gate electrode with the metal layer.

As to claim 24, Yamaguchi et al disclose extension regions lower doped than the diffusion regions.

As to claim 27, Yamaguchi et al disclose extension region exposed on both sides of the gate conductor and the metal layer formed in both the exposed portions of the extension regions.

As to claim 29, Yamaguchi et al disclose said metal layer and said exposed portion of the extension region form a schottky diode.

9. Claim 32 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamaguchi et al. USPN 5,341,028 in view of Gardner et al. USPN 6,096,615.

Yamaguchi et al disclose in figs. 5 and 6 an integrated circuit disposed on an SOI substrate having a body region 14, comprising a transistor having a source diffusion region 17, a gate formed over said body region, a first sidewall spacer disposed on a side wall of said gate abutting said source diffusion region, a drain diffusion region 18, a second sidewall spacer disposed on a side wall of said gate abutting said drain diffusion region, and extension diffusion regions that extend further under said gate than said source diffusion region or said drain

Art Unit: 2826

diffusion region, said extension diffusion regions having a dopant concentration less than that of said source diffusion region and said drain diffusion region; and a conductor 27 in contact with a portion of said extension regions and a portion of source diffusion region to form a Schottky contact diode that prevents charge from accumulating in said body region, but do not disclose a first sidewall spacer thinner than a second sidewall spacer.

Gardner et al disclose (see fig. 2G and col. 5, lines 1-15) an integrated circuit comprising a first sidewall spacer 210 thinner than a second sidewall spacer 219.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Gardner et al with the device of Yamaguchi et al since that would be useful in subsequent dopant implant step as taught by Gardner et al.

10. Claim 33 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamaguchi et al. in view of and Gardner et al. as applied to claim 32 above, and further in view of Imai USPN 6,297,529.

The combined references fail to disclose a conductor in contact with a body region.

Imai discloses in fig. 2 an integrated circuit having a body region 5/6; a gate conductor 14; extension regions 16 extending further under spacers 17 than diffusion regions 19 arranged in the semiconductor layer on both sides of the gate conductor and extending at least under the spacers; and a conductor 20 contacting the body region.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate Imai's teachings with the device of Yamaguchi et al and Gardner et al since that would prevent an increase of the contact resistance of the gate electrode with the conductor layer.

Art Unit: 2826

11. Claim 32 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Deleonibus USPN 6,091,076. in view of Gardner et al. USPN 6,096,615.

Deleonibus discloses in fig. 2 an integrated circuit disposed on an SOI substrate having a body region 42, comprising a transistor having a source diffusion region 4, a gate 20 formed over said body region, a first sidewall spacer 20 disposed on a side wall of said gate abutting said source diffusion region, a drain diffusion region 6, a second sidewall spacer 26 disposed on a side wall of said gate abutting said drain diffusion region, and extension diffusion regions that extend further under said gate than said source diffusion region or said drain diffusion region, said extension diffusion regions having a dopant concentration less than that of said source diffusion region and said drain diffusion region; and a conductor 12/14 in contact with a portion of said extension regions and a portion of source diffusion region to form a Schottky contact diode that prevents charge from accumulating in said body region, but do not disclose a first sidewall spacer thinner than a second sidewall spacer.

Gardner et al disclose (see fig. 2G and col. 5, lines 1-15) an integrated circuit comprising a first sidewall spacer 210 thinner than a second sidewall spacer 219.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Gardner et al with Deleonibus' device since that would be useful in subsequent dopant implant step as taught by Gardner et al.

12. Claim 33 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Deleonibus in view of and Gardner et al. as applied to claim 32 above, and further in view of Imai USPN 6,297,529.

The combined references fail to disclose a conductor in contact with a body region.

Art Unit: 2826

Imai discloses in fig. 2 an integrated circuit having a body region 5/6; a gate conductor 14; extension regions 16 extending further under spacers 17 than diffusion regions 19 arranged in the semiconductor layer on both sides of the gate conductor and extending at least under the spacers; and a conductor 20 contacting the body region.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate Imai's teachings with the device of Deleonibus and Gardner et al since that would prevent an increase of the contact resistance of the gate electrode with the conductor layer.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to A. Sefer whose telephone number is (703) 605-1227.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan Flynn can be reached on (703) 308-6601.

ANS
September 09, 2003

NATHAN J. FLYNN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800